Introduction

A coalition of civil rights organizations, in conjunction with HIT Strategies, calculated grades for U.S. senators to provide civil rights advocates with clear insights into the voting patterns of their Senate delegations, which is demonstrative of their larger political and policy agendas.

The grades are based on senators' votes on the American Rescue Plan Act, the John R. Lewis Voting Rights Advancement Act, and the For the People Act. Thematically, these demonstrate the focus on economic recovery from the COVID-19 pandemic and expansion of voting access and voter protection at the federal level following the 2020 election. In addition to the voting rights legislation, we have included senators' stance on the filibuster because this once rare procedure has been normalized into a tool to effectively stymie major legislation, including voting rights protection bills. Coupled with the dramatic expansion of its use, the filibuster has, and will continue to have, a substantial effect on policymaking in the foreseeable future as the divide between the 51st and 60th vote in the Senate is unbridgeable with the Senate split along partisan lines.

Key Legislation and the Filibuster

Each senator's grade is based on their action or inaction on key pieces of legislation and eliminating the filibuster that would help secure voting rights.

American Rescue Plan Act (ARP)

NAACP (=

Designed to provide economic relief in response to the COVID-19 pandemic. It outlines spending for a multi-pronged relief plan, including national vaccine programs, reopening schools, personal economic stimulus payments, and extending unemployment and other benefits. **Voted:** 3/06/21

Vote Result: 50-49, passed the Senate with an amendment.

John R. Lewis Voting Rights Advancement Act (JRLVRAA)

National Urban League

Restores and strengthens the Voting Rights Act of 1965 to prohibit discrimination in voting to create an open and transparent democratic process in which everyone across the country can participate. **Procedural Vote:** 11/3/21

FIGHTING FOR OUR

Vote Result: 51 – 49, failed to meet 60 votes to open the floor to debate on the bill





For the People Act (FTP-A)

Expands voting rights access, reduces big money in politics, and implements anti-corruption measures to strengthen protection of voting.

Procedural Vote: 06/22/21

Vote Result: 50-50, failed to meet 60 votes to open the floor to debate on the bill

Filibuster (FB)

The filibuster refers to a procedural Senate rule which allows a prolonged debate on a piece of legislation for an unlimited amount of time. Without at least 60 out of 100 votes to end a filibuster - a procedure known as cloture - any Senator can prevent a vote on a bill, resolution, amendment, or other debatable questions.

The Senate does not publicly report the number of cloture votes held, making the identification of modern filibusters not readily available. In the absence of a Congressional voting record, HIT Strategies relied on data compiled by the Washington Post on each Senators' position on the filibuster. The Washington Post accrued each Democratic senators' position using senators' most recent comments. These statements - and the categories each Senator lands in – are updated when applicable.

A senator's stance on the filibuster is important to this analysis because of the current 50 – 50 split of the Senate along party lines that has made it harder for Congress to pass laws like voting rights legislation, which are currently languishing in the Senate. Within this context, knowing each Senator's position on the filibuster also provides us with a read on their commitment to pass voter protections in light of increasingly brazen measures enacted by states to disenfranchise voters who are disproportionally voters of color.

Scorecard Methodology

By aggregating the votes from the three Senate bills we've calculated a grade for each senator. Each act was scored by a coded system:

Yes vote = 1 No vote = 0 Abstained = 0 Did not vote = 0











For the filibuster, the scaled score was based on the Washington Post's analysis and ranking of Democratic Senators' stance on the filibuster.

Abolish the filibuster = 3 Reform the filibuster = 2 Willing to change the filibuster = 1 Opposed to abolishing the bilibuster = 0

Of the senators' overall score, the filibuster vote comprises 50 percent, while the other 50 percent is an average of the votes on the John R. Lewis Voting Rights Advancement Act, the American Rescue Plan Law, and the For the People Act of 2021.

A= Pass (3 – 2.1) I = Incomplete (2 – 1) F = Fail (0.9 - 0)

2021 Civil Rights Scorecard for the U.S. Senate

| | | ARP | JLVRAA | FTPA | FB | Average | Score |
|------------|----------|-----|--------|------|----|---------|-------|
| ALABAMA | | | | | | | |
| Shelby | Richard | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | F |
| Tuberville | Tommy | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | F |
| ALAS | бка | | | | | | |
| Murkowski | Lisa | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | F |
| Sullivan | Daniel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | F |
| ARIZONA | | | | | | | |
| Sinema | Kyrsten | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1.5 | I |
| Kelly | Mark | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2.5 | А |
| ARKAI | ARKANSAS | | | | | | |
| Boozman | John | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | F |
| Cotton | Thomas | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | F |
| CALIFORNIA | | | | | | | |
| Feinstein | Dianne | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | I |
| Padilla | Alex | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | А |











| | | ARP | JLVRAA | FTPA | FB | Average | Score | |
|--------------|-------------|-----|--------|------|----|---------|-------|--|
| COLORADO | | | | | | | | |
| Bennet | Michael | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | I | |
| Listenisenen | la ha | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | | |
| Hickenlooper | Joun | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | I | |
| CONNECTICUT | | | | | | | | |
| Blumenthal | Richard | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | А | |
| Murphy | Christopher | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2.5 | А | |
| DELAV | VARE | | | | | | | |
| Carper | Thomas | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | А | |
| Coons | Christopher | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | I | |
| FLOF | RIDA | | | | | | | |
| Rubio | Marco | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | F | |
| Scott | Richard | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | F | |
| GEORGIA | | | | | | | | |
| Ossoff | Jon | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2.5 | А | |
| Warnock | Raphael | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2.5 | А | |
| HAV | HAWAII | | | | | | | |
| Schatz | Brian | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | А | |
| Hirono | Mazie | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | А | |
| IDA | НО | | | | | | | |
| Crapo | Michael | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | F | |
| Risch | James | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | F | |
| ILLIN | IOIS | | | | | | | |
| Durbin | Richard | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2.5 | А | |
| Duckworth | Tammy | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | А | |
| INDIANA | | | | | | | | |
| Young | Todd | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | F | |
| Braun | Mike | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | F | |
| IOWA | | | | | | | | |
| Grassley | Chuck | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | F | |
| Ernst | Joni | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | F | |













| Moran KENTUCKY | Mitch | ARP 0 0 | JLVRAA 0 0 | FTPA 0 0 | FB 0 | Average | Score F |
|---------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|---------|------------|
| Marshall I Moran KENTUCKY | Jerry Mitch | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | F |
| Moran KENTUCKY | Jerry Mitch | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | E |
| KENTUCKY | Mitch | | 0 | 0 | | | |
| | Mitch | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | F |
| MaConnoll | | | | | | | |
| wicconneli | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | F |
| Paul | Rand | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | F |
| LOUISIANA | | | | | | | |
| Cassidy W | Villiam | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | F |
| Kennedy | John | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | F |
| MAINE | | | | | | | |
| Collins S | Susan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | F |
| King A | Angus | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | I. |
| MARYLAND | | | | | | | |
| Cardin Be | enjamin | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | А |
| Van Hollen Chr | ristopher | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | А |
| MASSACHUSETTS | | | | | | | |
| Warren Eli | izabeth | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | А |
| Markey E | dward | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | А |
| MICHIGAN | | | | | | | |
| Stabenow De | eborah | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2.5 | А |
| Peters | Gary | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | I |
| MINNESOTA | 4 | | | | | | |
| Klobuchar | Amy | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | А |
| Smith | Tina | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | А |
| MISSISSIPPI | | | | | | | |
| Wicker I | Roger | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | F |
| Hyde-Smith | Cindy | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | F |
| MISSOURI | | | | | | | |
| Blunt | Roy | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | F |
| Hawley J | oshua | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | F |













| | | ARP | JLVRAA | FTPA | FB | Average | Score |
|--------------|--------------|-----|--------|------|----|---------|-------|
| MONTANA | | | | | | | |
| Tester | Jon | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | I |
| Daines | Steven | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | F |
| NEBRASKA | | | | | | | |
| Fischer | Debra | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | F |
| Sasse | Benjamin | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | F |
| NEVA | DA | | | | | | |
| Cortez Masto | Catherine | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2.5 | А |
| Rosen | Jacky | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | А |
| NEW HAM | 1PSHIRE | | | | | | |
| Shaheen | Jeanne | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2.5 | А |
| Hassan | Margaret | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2.5 | А |
| NEW JERSEY | | | | | | | |
| Menedez | Robert | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2.5 | А |
| Booker | Cory | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2.5 | А |
| NEW MEXICO | | | | | | | |
| Lujan | Ben Ray | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2.5 | А |
| Heinrich | Martin | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | А |
| NEW Y | ORK | | | | | | |
| Schumer | Charles | 1 | 1* | 1 | 1 | 2.5 | А |
| Gillibrand | Kirsten | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | А |
| NORTH CA | ROLINA | | | | | | |
| Burr | Richard | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | F |
| Tillis | Thomas | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | F |
| NORTH D | NORTH DAKOTA | | | | | | |
| Hoeven | John | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | F |
| Cramer | Kevin | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | F |
| ОНЮ | | | | | | | |
| Brown | Sherrod | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | А |
| Portman | Robert | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | F |











| | | ARP | JLVRAA | FTPA | FB | Average | Score |
|----------------|---------|-----|--------|------|----|---------|-------|
| OKLAHOMA | | | | | | | |
| Inhofe | James | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | F |
| Lankford | James | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | F |
| OREGON | | | | | | | |
| Wyden | Ronald | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2.5 | А |
| Merkley | Jeffrey | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | А |
| PENNSYL | VANIA | | | | | | |
| Casey | Robert | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | А |
| Toomey | Patrick | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | F |
| RHODE I | SLAND | | | | | | |
| Reed | John | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | I |
| Whitehouse | Sheldon | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | I |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | | | | | | | |
| Graham | Lindsey | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | F |
| Scott | Timothy | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | F |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | | | | | | | |
| Thune | John | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | F |
| Rounds | Michael | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | F |
| TENNE | SSEE | | | | | | |
| Hagerty | Bill | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | F |
| Blackburn | Marsha | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | F |
| TEX | AS | | | | | | |
| Cornyn | John | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | F |
| Cruz | Ted | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | F |
| UTAH | | | | | | | |
| Lee | Michael | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | F |
| Romney | Mitt | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | F |
| VERMONT | | | | | | | |
| Leahy | Patrick | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | Ι |
| Sanders | Bernie | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | А |
| | | | | | | | |











| | | ARP | JLVRAA | FTPA | FB | Average | Score |
|---------------|---------|-----|--------|------|----|---------|-------|
| VIRGINIA | | | | | | | |
| Warner | Mark | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | I |
| Kaine | Timothy | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2.5 | А |
| WASHING | GTON | | | | | | |
| Murray | Patty | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2.5 | А |
| Cantwell | Maria | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2.5 | А |
| WEST VIRGINIA | | | | | | | |
| Manchin | Joseph | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1.5 | I |
| Capito | Shelley | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | F |
| WISCONSIN | | | | | | | |
| Johnson | Ronald | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | F |
| Baldwin | Tammy | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | А |
| WYOMING | | | | | | | |
| Lummis | Cynthia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | F |
| Barrasso | John | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | F |

* Denotes procedural no vote, but Senator has gone on the record supporting JLVRAA passage which has been taken into account for their updated score.

For more information:

Please reach out to Terrance Woodbury or Samuel Madrigal from HIT Strategies for any additional research questions about this data.

Research Contact

If you have any questions, please contact: Terrance Woodbury terrance@hitstrat.com

Research Content Contact

If you have any questions, please contact: Samuel Madrigal samuel@hitstrat.com







Council of Negro Women



